

From: dhshapir <[dhshapir@uci.edu](mailto:dhshapir@uci.edu)>  
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 12:47 PM  
To: linda Quinones < >  
Subject: Re: response: Re: Authorization to work with your Inventory

Hi Linda, Wow, you've really done your homework! See comments in caps below (The caps are not meant to be loud (I have a very soft voice) but to make sure i respond to each question..:) On 2021-10-29 08:26, linda Quinones wrote:

Dear Dr. Shapiro, thank you for your response. I will share the results of this research. THANKS! In other hand, I have some questions about the SCI. I would appreciate you could answer them. THE BEST SOURCE FOR ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS IS THE SCI MANUAL, WHICH YOU CAN REVIEW AND DOWNLOAD FOR FREE:<https://urldefense.com/v3/http://controlresearch.net/shapiro-control-inventory-manual.html>\_\_;!!OLgoXmg!FyWNcueqIfddmD9XZa7XJnH7qzzAMku0KDrV1zuVtp6ZIaAxKoQwm\_7Fzz\_3poXelw\$

The General Domain Control has 37 items. According to Dr. Galego's research there are 29 items that evaluate 4 scales of SCI. IF YOU LOOK ON P. 165 OF THE SCI MANUAL, YOU'LL SEE A FIGURE OF AN SCI CONTROL PROFILE. THIS INCLUDES THE GENERAL DOMAIN SCALES: (1-3) POSITIVE SENSE OF CONTROL, NEGATIVE SENSE OF CONTROL, OVERALL SENSE OF CONTROL(SEE P.7 OF MANUAL) AND P. 165; A FOURTH SCALE IS A DOMAIN SPECIFIC SCALE. I would like to know, how to evaluate 8 items?

As I see in SCI manual, 5 items (5, 10, 28, 34, and 35) belong to the Subscale "Overcontrol Issues; Desire less control"; IF YOU LOOK AT MANUAL, P. 24, THE ISSUE OF OVERCONTROL AND DESIRE FOR LESS CONTROL, YOU'LL SEE THAT THESE FIVE ITEMS ARE OF "CLINICAL" INTEREST. HOWEVER, THEY ARE NOT PART OF A SUBSCALE, ON P. 180 OF THE MANUAL IT DISCUSSES HOW THESE MAY BE USED CLINICALLY. and 3 items (21, 22 and 23) belong to the Subscale "Other Sources of Control".Is that correct? IF YOU LOOK ON THE MANUAL, P.9-10, UNDER AGENCY OFCONTROL, YOU SEE THAT "SELF" AND OTHER (ITEMS 19 AND 20) ARE THE TWO MAIN ITEMS --SEE AGAIN P. 165 AGENCY OF CONTROL (13 AND 14). THE OTHER ITEMS YOU ASK ABOUT 21,22,23 ARE NOT PART OF A SUBSCALE BUT MERELY A REFINEMENT OF "OTHER" AS SENSE OF CONTROL. THEY ARE NOT ADDED TOGETHER (SEE YOUR NEXT QUESTION BUT STAND ALONE AS REFINEMENTS.

Do those items have to be added together to complete the two different Subscales? SEE ABOVE.

Thanks again for your kindness. YOU BET. HOPE THIS HELPS! KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK:)

DR. S

Sincerely,  
Linda Quinones

-----  
From: dhshapir <[dhshapir@uci.edu](mailto:dhshapir@uci.edu)>  
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 10:24 AM  
To: linda Quinones < >  
Subject: response: Re: Authorization to work with your Inventory

Hi Linda, Fantastic...of course you have permission. The SCI is free to all professionals.. (cf controlresearch.net). I'm glad you are connecting with Dr. Galego. Good luck with your research, and once published, let me know so we can put it up on the website. Stay well! Dr. S

On 2021-10-28 23:11, linda Quinones wrote:

Dear Mr. Shapiro:

My name is Linda Quiñones. I'm psychologist from Federico Villarreal University in Lima-Peru.

I'm writing to you to request your authorization to use your Shapiro Control Inventory to adapt it in women who are victims of gender violence in my country. A group of psychologists who support these women are interested to work with your inventory. My colleagues and I consider that Dr. Vanesa Galego's Spanish version is going to help in our research, so I also wrote her to ask her authorization.

Sincerely,

Linda Quiñones

Good night Dr. Shapiro,

Excuse me for insisting on a question, you told me that it was the evaluator's decision to use or not the 8 items that evaluate clinical aspects and other control resources in the SCI.

If so, would it be enough to work with only 29 test items? Perhaps it could be considered as a short form of SCI? It's just a thought.

I told you that a group of psychologists are working with women affected by gender violence and they need instruments adapted to Peruvian reality. If it those tests are short and effective test, it would be beneficial to their work. I just want to be sure that 29 items would be enough for the interests of the evaluators.

When I finish with this job, I would like to write you when it would be necessary to know more about SCI. I'm working at Federico Villarreal university and it would be interesting to investigate with new tests as yours, but now I have to finish with this project. Tomorrow I have to send to those psychologists a report that they asked me to do. So, I do really appreciate your response.

Thanks a lot. Linda

**I TRUST your judgment. short form it is! stay well. Namaste....Re: Question**

Good night Dr. Shapiro,

Excuse me for insisting on a question, you told me that it was the evaluator's decision to use or not the 8 items that evaluate clinical aspects and other control resources in the SCI.

If so, would it be enough to work with only 29 test items? Perhaps it could be considered as a short form of SCI? It's just a thought.

I told you that a group of psychologists are working with women affected by gender violence and they need instruments adapted to Peruvian reality. If it those tests are short and effective test, it would be beneficial to their work. I just want to be sure that 29 items would be enough for the interests of the evaluators.

When I finish with this job, I would like to write you when it would be necessary to know more about SCI. I'm working at Federico Villarreal university and it would be interesting to investigate with new tests as yours, but now I have to finish with this project. Tomorrow I have to send to those psychologists a report that they asked me to do. So, I do really appreciate your response.

Thanks a lot.

Linda